Activities
- Discuss best practices for conducting reviews.
- Highlight common Calls for Papers.
- Illustrate outcomes from the peer review process.

Best Practices for Research Reviews
- Establish source “order of importance”
  - Refereed journals
  - Conference proceedings
  - Theses/Dissertations
  - Government agency-sponsored reports
- Qualify unreliable sources
  - Wikipedia
  - “For profit” reports

Common Calls for Conference Papers
- Note deadline dates
- Specifics for Conference Calls:
  - Topic or theme for the conference
  - Product: full papers vs. proposals
  - Format: sections, font-type/size, etc.
  - Submission: electronic or mail
- Mark calendar for review results and final papers due

Best Practices for Research Reviews
- Identify keywords from your topic
- Search library databases using your keywords
  - Use parameters such as “ ”, AND, OR, NOT
  - Use wildcards such as *
- Ask your professors for personal collections
- Develop your own strategy for mass article collection; refine it for each article review

Best Practices for Research Reviews
- Process reviews with your topic as the guide, then:
  - Read abstracts first, then
  - Peruse the findings/conclusions,
  - Review methods used, and
  - End with the article’s framework
- Be open-minded, but with a critical eye for details
  (bias, over-generalizations, etc.)
- Keep diligent notes/citations
Common Calls for Conference Posters

- Note **deadline dates**
- Specifics for Conference Calls:
  - Topic or theme for the conference
  - Product: usually only proposals
  - Format: page limit, sections, font-type/size, etc.
  - Submission: electronic or mail
- Mark calendar for review results and final poster abstracts due

Common Journal Papers

- Typically, no deadline dates, but very specific instructions for each journal:
  - Type or topics considered by each journal
  - Page/word limits
  - Formatting issues
  - Citation standards
  - Review processes
  - Submission guidelines

Peer Review Process Outcomes

- Student research
  - Manuscript
  - Initial Review
  - Authors’ Response to Reviewers
  - Final Review
- What can be learned about the publication process from this example?

Peer Review Process Outcomes

- Student research
  - Manuscript
  - Initial Review
- What can be learned about the publication process from this example?

Summary

- Establish a journal article search strategy
- Critically evaluate all articles
- Read Calls for Papers carefully
- Persistency in the publication process
- Answer reviewers’ concerns honestly/directly
- Contribute your ideas in a scholarly manner